



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/051,297

01/22/2002

Heinz Walter

740116-358

4774

25570

7590

03/23/2006

ROBERTS, MLOTKOWSKI & HOBBS

P. O. BOX 10064

MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064

EXAMINER

WEST, JEFFREY R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2857

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/051,297	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WALTER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jeffrey R. West	<b>Art Unit</b> 2857	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 January 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claims 18 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 18, line 2, to avoid problems of antecedent basis, "the duration" should be ---a duration---.

In claim 19, line 2, to avoid problems of antecedent basis, "the duration" should be ---a duration---.

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 16, and 18-21, as may best be understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE Patent No. 4016922 to Popp in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,416,723 to Zyl.

Popp discloses an electrical transducer using a two-wire process (001) comprising an analog sensor that detects a quantity to be measured (009, lines 2-6), an analog end stage which is connected downstream of the sensor at the output end of the transducer (010, lines 32-35 and "13" in Figure 1), a processor circuit (010, lines 26-27 and "7" in Figure 1), wherein the processor circuit is not connected

serially between the sensor and the analog end stage so that an analog measurement signal transmission path is realized (Figure 1), the analog end stage converting an output signal of the sensor into an impressed output current with a magnitude which is a measure of the quantity to be measured and is fixed within a range of about 0 to 20 mA, specifically about 4 to 20mA (010, lines 32-36 and Figure 1), the electrical transducer being controlled by the processor circuit (004, lines 1-8).

Popp discloses the analog measurement signal transmission path including an analog scaling unit ("6" in Figure 1), the output signal of the sensor and at least one analog setting value are supplied to the analog scaling unit (010, lines 1-8 and Figure 1), and the output signal of the analog scaling unit is supplied to the analog end stage (Figure 1).

Popp discloses that the analog scaling unit is an analog arithmetic circuit to which as the at least one analog setting value a DC voltage signal is delivered (010, lines 1-11) wherein the analog arithmetic circuit comprises at least one analog multiplier and at least one sign-evaluating (i.e. adding or subtracting) accumulator acting as an adder and/or subtractor (010, lines 11-19).

Popp discloses a power source that produces a non-zero output current (002, lines 14-16).

Popp discloses that the output signal of the sensor is routed past the processor circuit via the analog signal transmission path (Figure 1) when the processor is inactive for enabling changes in the quantity being measured to be followed while the processor circuit is inactive (004, lines 1-5).

As noted above, the invention of Popp teaches many of the features of the claimed invention and while Popp does teach providing both an analog path and a digital path wherein the digital path includes a microprocessor that is not active during normal measurement operation but only provided to perform corrections (004, lines 1-5), Popp does not explicitly disclose that the processor be shifted temporarily from an awake mode into a sleep mode in which the processor is inactive.

Zyl teaches a loop powered process control transmitter operating at a loop power of between 4 and 20 mA (column 1, lines 5-16) wherein during normal operation of the process control transmitter, the microprocessor circuit is shifted temporarily from an awake mode into a sleep mode in which the processor circuit is inactive (column 2, lines 20-30 and column 3, lines 14-18).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Popp to explicitly disclose that the processor be shifted temporarily from an awake mode into a sleep mode in which the processor is inactive, as taught by Zyl, because the invention of Popp does teach that the microprocessor is inactive during normal transducer operation and Zyl suggests that the combination would have improved the operation of the loop-powered transducer of Popp by complying with the strict power requirement of loop-powered devices (column 2, lines 13-30 and column 4, lines 37-56).

Further, with respect to claims 18 and 19, since the invention of Popp does teach providing both an analog path and a digital path wherein the digital path includes a microprocessor that is not active during normal measurement operation but only

provided to perform corrections (i.e. the duration of the processor inactivity is much longer than the duration of the processor activity) and the invention of Zyl teaches that the microprocessor is shifted from an awake mode into a sleep mode, the combination would have provided that the duration of the sleep mode of the processor circuit is much longer than the duration of the awake mode.

4. Claims 3, 4, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popp in view of Zyl and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,886,565 to Yasui.

As noted above, the invention of Popp and Zyl teaches many of the features of the claimed invention including an analog scaling unit as an analog arithmetic circuit to which as at least one analog setting value a DC voltage signal is delivered from a microprocessor. The invention of Popp and Zyl, however, does not specify how this DC voltage is supplied.

Yasui teaches a reference voltage generating circuit having an integrator that generates a reference voltage using a voltage dividing circuit that divides a voltage supplied from a power source for use by the integrator (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Popp and Zyl to include an active integrator for generating the reference voltage, as taught by Yasui because Yasui suggests a corresponding circuit applicable and needed in the invention of Popp and Zyl in order to generate a reference voltage as well as assuring low power consumption and a stable output characteristic (column 1, lines 44-47).

Further, since the DC voltage signal of Popp and Zyl is generated by the microprocessor, the modification of Popp and Zyl with the control circuit integrator of Yasui would provide an active integrator as part of a control circuit within the processing circuit.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popp in view of Zyl and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,714,903 to Bruccoleri et al.

As noted above, the invention of Popp and Zyl teaches many of the features of the claimed invention and while the combination does teach an analog scaling unit including an analog multiplier, the combination does not specify that the multiplier be a single-quadrant multiplier.

Bruccoleri teaches a low-consumption analog multiplier that is a single-quadrant multiplier (column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Popp and Zyl to specify that the multiplier by a single-quadrant multiplier, as taught by Bruccoleri, because Bruccoleri suggests a corresponding multiplier for use in the invention of Popp and Zyl using a multiplier that would have improved efficiency by lowering current consumption while increasing error compensation (column 4, line 66 to column 5, line 3).

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popp in view of Zyl and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,805,092 to Henson.

As noted above, the invention of Popp and Zyl teaches many of the features of the claimed invention and while the invention of Popp and Zyl does teach an analog scaling circuit including an analog multiplier, the combination does not specify the makeup of the multiplier.

Henson teaches an electronic analog multiplier comprising a plurality of transistors (abstract) and a plurality of operational amplifiers (column 4, lines 32-38 and Figure 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Popp and Zyl to specify the makeup of the multiplier, as taught by Henson, because the combination would have provided a suitable multiplier for use in the invention of Popp and Zyl, that, as suggested by Henson, would have been suitably biased (column 4, lines 32-38) and operated at high operating speed without normally encountered errors caused by the high speed and/or transistor mismatch (column 2, lines 1-11).

7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popp in view of Zyl and Bruccoleri and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,057,794 to Takamuki.

As noted above, Popp in combination with Zyl and Bruccoleri teaches many of the features of the claimed invention and while the invention of Popp, Zyl and Bruccoleri, does include an analog scaling unit with an adder, subtractor, and single quadrant multiplier, and further while the combination does include an analog-digital



converter as an input to the analog scaling unit, the combination does not specify the makeup of the analog-digital converter.

Takamuki teaches a sigma-delta modulation circuit as part of an analog-digital converter (column 1, lines 6-8) including an analog multiplier, adder, and subtractor (column 10, lines 5-14) with an adder connected through a delay circuit and a converter to the input of a multiplier and an adder and subtractor connected to the output of the multiplier (Figure 5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Popp, Zyl, and Bruccoleri to specify that the analog-digital converter as an input to the analog scaling unit comprises an analog multiplier, adders, and subtractor, as taught by Takamuki, because while the invention of Popp, Zyl, and Bruccoleri is silent as to the makeup of the an analog-digital converter, Takamuki suggests a corresponding circuit applicable and necessary to implement the converter with improved operation through amplitude control using a small, simple configuration (column 2, lines 25-27).

Although the combination of Popp, Zyl, and Bruccoleri provides an analog-digital converter electrically coupled to the analog scaling circuit rather than part of the analog scaling circuit itself, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the A/D converter, and corresponding sigma-delta circuit with multiplier, adders, and subtractor, and the analog scaling circuit as one circuit in order to adhere to space constraints. Further, it has been held that forming in one

Art Unit: 2857

piece which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art (see *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893)).

8. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popp in view of Zyl and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,207,101 to Haynes.

As noted above, the invention of Popp and Zyl teaches many of the features of the claimed invention and while the invention of Popp and Zyl does teach a circuit connected between the analog scaling unit and the analog end stage for attenuating the sensed signal by performing an average calculation, wherein the attenuating circuit comprises an RC element (Popp, 011, lines 5-20), the combination does not include the specifics of the circuit, specifically, regarding an adjustable time constant.

Haynes discloses a two-wire ultrasonic transmitter comprising a sensor that detects a quantity to be measured (column 2, lines 19-22), an analog end stage, comprising an amplifier circuit, connected downstream of the sensor (Figure 4b, "52"), a processor circuit, including a processor and drive circuit (column 7, lines 41-42) and an analog measurement signal transmission path (see subsequent circuitry from X1 in Figure 4a), the analog end stage including, between the analog scaling unit and the subsequent analog end stage circuitry, an attenuator comprising an RC element (column 2, lines 58-60 and column 8, lines 52-64), having an adjustable time constant (i.e. adjustable resistor and capacitor values) wherein an error output

of the attenuator can be compensate by a control circuit (i.e. comparator with threshold detection) (column 8, line 65 to column 9, line 9).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Popp and Zyl to include the specifics of the attenuating circuit, specifically, regarding an adjustable time constant, as taught by Haynes, because the combination would have provided improved the transducer operation by allowing modification of the attenuating circuit as desired while, as suggested by Haynes, improving the performance of the transducer of Popp and Zyl by effectively minimizing dead band (column 8, lines 52-64).

9. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popp in view of Zyl and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,252,967 to Brennan et al.

As noted above, the invention of Popp and Zyl teaches many of the features of the claimed invention and while the invention of Popp and Zyl teaches operation in two-wire mode, the combination does not disclose means for operation in three-wire mode.

Brennan teaches a reader/programmer for two and three wire utility data communications systems including three power supply terminals (i.e. receptacles) (column 6, lines 18-25) wherein upon automatic detection of a predetermined voltage of an interrogation signal at the terminals (column 7, lines 43-55 and column 9, lines 47-49), the measurement device sends a wake-up signal to its

microprocessor (column 10, lines 30-37) and based upon the interrogation signal, which powers the device (column 9, lines 26-31), operates in either two or three wire mode (column 9, line 50 to column 10, line 10).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Popp and Zyl to include means for operation in three-wire mode, as taught by Brennan, because Popp and Zyl teaches a transmitter for use in pressure measurement and, as suggested by Brennan, the combination would have provided means for a utility meter, such as a pressure or flow meter, to be used in two or three wire modes thereby increasing the versatility of the device while reducing the burden on the user (column 2, lines 7-32).

### ***Response to Arguments***

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The following arguments, however, are noted:

Applicant first indicates that:

"The Popp reference is the prior described in paragraph [0006], page 3 and 4 of the present application. However, the Examiner's parenthetical citations to numbers such as '001', '009,' etc., with or without following line numbers is not understood since Popp has no paragraph numbers. If this rejection is maintained, clarification is requested."

The Examiner asserts that a translation of the Popp reference accompanied the Non-Final Office Action mailed September 09, 2005. The translation includes paragraph numbers to aid in understanding of the reference. Another copy of the

reference with this translation, as well as a new formal translation, is also being supplied with the instant Office Action.

Applicant then argues:

“As recognized by the Examiner Popp does not disclose applicant’s temporary shifting of the processor from an awake mode to a sleep mode in which the processor is inactive. To the contrary instead of using this technique which is the manner in which the present invention achieves lower power consumption (paragraphs [007], [008] & [0011] of the present application), low power consumption is achieved by Popp through the user of ‘a low clock frequency and thus low processing speed of the processor circuit’ (page 4, lines 3-5 of the present application). The technique of the present invention does sacrifice processor speed as does Popp, yet still reduces power consumption (via the switching between awake and sleep modes) while enabling changes in the quantity being measured to be followed during the time periods during which the processor circuit is inactive due to the output signal of the sensor being routed past the processor circuit via the analog signal transmission path when the processor is in the sleep mode (see, paragraphs [0012] & [0013], page 6 of the present application).”

The Examiner maintains that, as illustrated in Figure 1 of Popp, the sensor “1” output is routed past the processor circuit “7” via the analog signal transmission path. The Examiner further maintains that Popp discloses:

“The processing of measuring values for dynamic processes takes place on the analog transmission path only. The processor merely carries out corrective interventions on the analog transmission path. The configuring of the measuring transducer and the communication with external auxiliary devices or computers takes place via the digital transmission path without interrupting the transmission of the measuring values. The invention makes it possible to realize low clock frequencies for the processor and the analog/digital converter and therefore a low current consumption” (004).

Therefore, the Examiner maintains that the invention of Popp does enable changes in the quantity being measured to be followed during the time periods during which the processor circuit is inactive due to the output signal of the sensor

being routed past the processor circuit via the analog signal transmission path when the processor is inactive.

Applicant further argues:

“Thus, a person of ordinary skill viewing the combined teaching of Popp and Zyl, would consider Zyl's alternative technique of adjusting clock speed as the logical modification to apply to Popp since it is related to and compatible with Popp's concept. However, even if Zyl's primary technique of sending the processor into an inactive sleep teaching were to be considered, it would not lead to the present invention since the requisite teaching for enabling continued tracking of sensor output during the sleep mode when 'measurement process controlled by the processor' are halted would be lacking. This distinction between the present invention and the Popp and Zyl references is not been made explicitly clear via the above amendments to claims 1 and 16.”

As noted above, the Examiner maintains the invention of Popp does enable changes in the quantity being measured to be followed during the time periods during which the processor circuit is inactive due to the output signal of the sensor being routed past the processor circuit via the analog signal transmission path when the processor is inactive.

The invention of Zyl, which teaches a loop powered process control transmitter operating at a loop power of between 4 and 20 mA (column 1, lines 5-16) wherein during normal operation of the process control transmitter, the microprocessor circuit is shifted temporarily from an awake mode into a sleep mode in which the processor circuit is inactive (column 2, lines 20-30 and column 3, lines 14-18), is then included to modify the invention of Popp to explicitly disclose that the processor be shifted temporarily from an awake mode into a sleep mode in which the processor is inactive.

Therefore, the invention of Popp and Zyl are properly combinable and together meet the claimed feature of "wherein the output signal of the sensor is routed past the processor circuit via the analog signal transmission path when the processor is in said sleep mode for enabling changes in the quantity being measured to be followed while the processor circuit is inactive".

### ***Conclusion***

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 4,524,624 to Di Noia et al. teaches a pressure and differential pressure detector and transmitter for use in hostile environments including a detector arrangement comprising an adder, subtractor, and multiplier.

U.S. Patent No. 5,956,663 to Eryurek teaches a signal processing technique which separates signal components in a sensor for sensor diagnostics.

U.S. Patent No. 5,083,091 to Frick et al. teaches a charge balanced feedback measurement circuit.

JP Patent Application Publication No. 04-359399 to Tamura et al. teaches a three-wire signal processor that converts a three-wire signal into a two-wire signal.

U.S. Patent No. 3,948,098 to Richardson et al. teaches a vortex flow meter transmitter that can be used in two-wire or three-wire operation.

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. West whose telephone number is (703)308-1309. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (703)308-1677. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7382.



Art Unit: 2857

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

jrw  
March 19, 2006



CAROL S.W. TSAI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER